

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

CUV STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

A DDI ICATIONINO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			
10/805,671	03/19/2004	Si Hwan Jung	U 015090-2	8570	
7590 01/22/2007 William R. Evans			EXAMINER		
Ladas & Parry 26 West 61 Street New York, NY 10023			LAM, THANH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 DAYS		01/22/2007	PAPER		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No		Applicant(s)			
		10/805,671	,	JUNG, SI HWAN			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Thanh Lam		2834			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material process.	DATE OF THIS CO 1.136(a). In no event, how iod will apply and will expire atute, cause the application	DMMUNICATION. ever, may a reply be timely SIX (6) MONTHS from the o become ABANDONED	y filed  e mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b>.</b>					
l		 his action is non-fin	al.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 453	O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
/_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without		ation.				
5)[_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 are subject to restriction and/o	r election requireme	ent.				
Applicat	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
1	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn		· ·	` '			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35	U.S.C. & 119(a)-(	d) or (f).			
l .	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		<b>0</b> 3(3)(	-, (,)			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified co	pies not received.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲	Interview Summary (P	TO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Date	··			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal Pate Other:	эн Аррисацоп			
J.S. Patent and Ti							
PTOL-326 (R	ev. uo-uo) Office	Action Summary	Part o	of Paper No./Mail Date 20070116			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species	Figures	
Α	1-2	
В	3	
С	4.	

The species are independent or distinct because of different embodiments.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there appear to be no generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/805,671

Art Unit: 2834

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was made to Julian H. Cohen on 1/16/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Lam whose telephone number is (571) 272-2026. The examiner can normally be reached on tu-th 8-6.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,671

Art Unit: 2834

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thanh Lam
Primary Examiner

anhlam

Art Unit 2834

\*\*\*